



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.42, No.3

January 20th, 2006

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "Israel shall not live on one soil but in the souls of men chastened and transfigured, in laws and institutions of righteousness, in human relations ennobled and disciplined by a sense of responsibility.

And men shall then look into each others eyes and see the reflection of their own unfulfilled longings, and the hearts of men shall go out to each other in understanding, for they will know that all suffer hurt and heartache and dream the same dreams of freedom, security and peace. And together they shall build the Kingdom of God."

– Morris Larazon, quoted by Alfred Lilienthal in "*What Price Israel?*", 1953
Dr. Lilienthal is an American of the Jewish faith

AFTER THE COLLAPSE by James Reed: With all the threats to our culture and civilisation covered in publications like this one, when all is said and done, what hope is there for the survival of Western civilisation, of our race or even mankind itself? Will "our side" win this war, turn it all around and rebuild the West, or is it the end?

Seymour Itzkoff (a conservative Jewish writer I believe, but a politically incorrect one) has written a fascinating book, *Rebuilding Western Civilization Beyond the Twenty-First Century Collapse* (Paideia Publishers, 2003). Itzkoff believes that racial differences in intelligence exist (Jews are the smartest) and he rejects the idea that all members of Western society have the capacity to maintain civilisation. Most of the underclass is becoming welfare/crime dependents, preying on the hard work of others.

Cities are decaying. Democracy stopped working because it requires a population of independent critical-thinking people in close community interaction. Communities have disintegrated.

Even high culture in the West is dying as shown by the drivel that passes as art and culture. A mass of people – about 10 billion by mid-century, most non-Whites – will demand "the good life". But it is ecologically impossible to supply this to them. Chaos will rule and then those alive will curse the misplaced altruism of today's White liberals.

Itzkoff sees the only hope to be for Western man to hold on to all that is dear in independent cultural enclaves. This is something which our generation should start to contemplate rather than optimistically assuming that some miracle will save us. The time is coming to think about the "unthinkable" and prepare for life after the collapse.

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought For The Week* • *After The Collapse* • *"Democracy" African Style* • *Rupert The Red* • *Telling It Like It Is* • *David Irving And The Holocaust* • *The Outworking Of Victoria's Racial Vilification Legislation*

"DEMOCRACY" AFRICAN STYLE by James Reed: Ethiopian Prime Minister, Meles Zenawi, is yet another example of why Africa continues to be the "dark continent", with its suppression of basic freedoms and dissent. Recently an Ethiopian court charged 131 politicians, journalists and activists with treason and genocide.

The list includes a number of anti-poverty workers. Meles Zenawi, an ally of Tony Blair, claims the defendants are responsible for causing the riots in the capital Addis Ababa, after the 15 May 2005 general election. The government of Zenawi has been widely accused, both inside and outside of Ethiopia, of rigging the election and falsifying results.

Protests led to 42 people being killed by Zenawi's security forces. Live ammunition was used to disperse protesters.

Since June 2005 Zenawi has closed five newspapers and jailed their editors and arrested 40,000 people. Foreign radio stations, Voice of America and Deutsche Welle, critical of Zenawi's brutality, were taken off the air.

Ethiopia, like much of Africa, is a culture of the gun. No doubt the immigration-mad elites, of both left and right camps, would propose that Ethiopia's problems would be solved by moving all of Ethiopia to Australia. Let us hope that this mass of refugees are settled in the leafy suburbs of Melbourne and Sydney so that they can conduct cultural exchanges with the BMW culture and glazed-eyed idealists.

RUPERT THE RED by Robert Hart: With the death of Kerry Packer it is worthwhile to reflect upon the significance of that more important manipulator of the Mind, Rupert Murdoch. Murdoch was educated at a Geelong private school and then studied at Oxford. Murdoch's father, Keith married the daughter of a wealthy Jewish family, Elisabeth Joy Greene. Keith was promoted from mere reporter to chairman of the newspaper where he worked. He bought two newspapers in Adelaide.

In England Murdoch was introduced through Lord Beaverbrook to a number of rich Jews such as Canadian liquor magnate, Edgar Bronfman and the chairman of the Anglo-American De Beer's diamond and gold cartel, Harry Oppenheimer. These were but a few of Murdoch's backers who supported him in his bid to build a global media empire.

Rupert was brought up by his mother as a Jew and he has always ensured that his papers exhibit a pro-Israel bias which can be readily confirmed by a detailed study of papers such as *The Australian*. Rupert earned the sobriquet "Red Rupert" from his university days as a pro-Marxist radical.

In 1965 Rupert bought a Perth Sunday newspaper for \$286,000 but soon the millions of dollars began to be spent as he bought a string of daily papers in Sydney, Melbourne and Brisbane, as well as radio and TV stations.

In 1968 Murdoch launched a national newspaper *The Australian* with a red map of Australia on the left of the masthead. It is an appropriate symbol for the most politically correct newspaper in Australia, which daily champions the cause of globalism, Asianisation, multiculturalism and mass coloured immigration.

During his student days at Oxford Murdoch recognised that there is no real ideological difference between Capitalism and Communism "except that [Capitalism] should be more controlled and centralised. The two are complementary". That, in a nutshell, is Murdoch's philosophy today, as he continues to carve out a multi-billion dollar communication empire, supported by the international financiers.

Murdoch's papers are full of stories that level everything down to the lowest common denominator of vulgarity, as his British tabloids well illustrate. A British psychologist, reflecting upon why people buy

such rubbish concluded: "Murdoch is Moloch. Each day people are sacrificed on the press altar and people get their bread and circuses."

Thank God for the Internet and publications such as this one. It is our sacred duty to support the alternative press with all our energies. It is our chance for freedom.

TELLING IT LIKE IT IS: "American television continues to present war as a bloodless sandpit in which the horrors of conflict – the mutilated bodies of the victims of aerial bombing, torn apart in the desert by wild dogs – are kept off the screen, Robert Fisk in the *Los Angeles Times*, informs his readers. (27/12/05).

Editors in New York and London make sure that viewers' "sensitivities" don't suffer, that we don't indulge in the "pornography" of death (which is exactly what war is) or "dishonour" the dead whom we have just killed.

Our prudish video coverage makes war easier to support, and journalists long ago became complicit with governments in making conflict and death more acceptable to viewers. Television journalism has thus become a lethal adjunct to war... So let's call a colony a colony, let's call occupation what it is, let's call a wall a wall. And maybe express the reality of war by showing that it represents not, primarily, victory or defeat, but the total failure of the human spirit."

DAVID IRVING AND THE HOLOCAUST by James Reed: David Irving was imprisoned on November 11th, 2005, in Styria, Austria on a warrant issued in 1989. Austrian law, like laws in many Western countries, makes Holocaust denial a crime. Irving could face up to twenty years on gaol. Irving was allegedly trapped by police wiretaps and the interception of e-mails – the sort of energies that one would have expected the police to put into chasing terrorists.

Holocaust denial must then be more dangerous to society than various violent and terrorist acts. It must be a worse crime than assault, rape and possibly murder.

The 'crime' is a thought crime: a sincere intellectual person on the basis of their own research – however misguided or incorrect – could formulate an opinion which leads them to imprisonment. Such laws then enforce historical claims by the force of the State.

Even if these historical claims are true, this would unquestionably make such States with such laws undemocratic, non-liberal and essentially police States. Freedom of speech, technically, does not exist on such a topic.

Hanging over all of this are some questions:

- If the overwhelming weight of historic evidence supports a claim, why must the strong arm of the law be brought into the matter?
- Isn't imprisoning Holocaust deniers counter-productive insofar as "over the top" penalties tends to produce martyrs?

I for one would appreciate a straight answer to these questions.

THE OUTWORKING OF VICTORIA'S RACIAL VILIFICATION LEGISLATION: The League's "Lions for Freedom" CD-Rom is proving to be such a winner. With the assistance of modern technology it is like having your own research assistant at your beck and call. I was able to easily locate Jeremy Lee's five-year-old article in the *OT* archives on the Bracks Labor government passing the "Racial and Religious Tolerance Bill" in the late hours of Tuesday, June 5th, 2001.

And this was because of the 40 years of *On Target* research and comment held on the CD-Rom "Lions For Freedom".

It was a Bracks government initiative: At the time, not only did the Labor members, at the time, vote to pass the Bill – naturally, as they introduced it – but so did the Liberal Opposition, with the

exception of two members! For once the National Party stood up, along with two of Victoria's Independents, and voted against the Bill.

"Alice-in-Wonderland" stuff. But what caught my eye was Jeremy's astonishment at the claim "made by more than one Labor member, that the Bill was 'unenforceable' and merely symbolic". Remember this was in 2001!

Jeremy observed: "The Member for Forest Hill, Mr. Richardson, was one who used such 'logic', and his remarks were truly astonishing – more in keeping with "Alice-in-Wonderland" than a Parliament in Australia. He said, *inter alia*: ".... I speak in support of the Racial and Religious Tolerance Bill, and in doing so I say that I do not think it necessary. It is my view that there are sufficient safeguards existing in the federal and state statutes that already prevailThe advice that I have received is that if the proposed legislation becomes law it will essentially be unenforceable. The path that has to be followed to obtain a conviction for an offence under the act, which the bill will become, is so tortuous and obscure that there will probably be no prosecutions based on the legislation"

Jeremy noted: "If the confused Mr. Richardson believes that the legislation leaves no room for prosecutions, he is in for a shock. Similar legislation has been pursued by the same bodies in a number of countries, and has been used to threaten and intimidate advocates of free speech on a number of occasions."

Independent Member for Mildura, Russell Savage, warned Victorians: " One of the things that we should remind ourselves of occasionally is what free speech is. In the British House of Commons during May of 1797 Charles Fox stated: Opinions become dangerous to a state only when persecution makes it necessary for the people to communicate their ideas under the bond of secrecy. Mr. Savage continued: "Among other things, this Bill would take away the right of a parent to have full decision-making control over a child. According to the Bill, a five year old could be making a decision contrary to the wishes of the parent." Oh? Is this another 'barb' in the Bill that has yet to 'ensnare' its intended victims?

Looks like Australians haven't grasped all that our political masters have in store for us through such acts of Parliament.

Mr. Ashley, the Member for Bayswater, gave an apt historical illustration of the futility of the State attempting to legislate for religious or civil truth:

" I refer to a discussion between Sir Thomas More and Mr. Riche, the solicitor to King Henry VIII, over the issue of how the king could take over the role of the church and thus presume to stamp the religious dimensions on the civil law. I quote from a book about the Reformation and the political sphere of the 16th century: 'Admit there were, Sir, an Acte of Parliament, that all the Realme should take me for King, would you not take me for King?' 'Yes sir,' quoth Sir Thomas More, 'That I would.' 'I put the case further,' quoth Mr. Riche, 'that there weare an Acte of Parliament that all the Realme should take me for the Pope, would then not you, Mr. More, take me for the Pope?'

'To your first case', quoth Sir Thomas More, ' the Parliament may well meddle with the stat of temporall Princes; but to make aunswere to your second case, I will put you this case; suppose the Parliament would make a law that God should not be God, would you then, Mr. Riche, saye God we are not God?'"

"What he was saying," explained Jeremy, "was that in matters of religious belief there could be no determination by an act of Parliament. This was Erastianism in practice; and he (Sir Thomas More...ed) was reacting to that by saying, 'Thus far and no further'."

CD-ROM "Lions for Freedom". Price: \$32.00 posted from all League Book Services.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$40.00 p.a.